

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge James P. Hutton
Yakima

USA v. JOSE MENDOZA-RUELAS Case No. 4:21-CR-6028-MKD-1

Video Conference
The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

Arraignment on 2nd Superseding Indictment: 03/10/2022

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Todd Swensen, US Atty (video) |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Craig Webster, Defense Atty (video) |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter required – Natalia Rivera (video) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM
appearing by video from Yakima County Jail <input type="checkbox"/> out of custody | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |
-
- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed | <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions of release as previously imposed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Charging document read in open court | |

REMARKS

Due to the current COVID-19 public health crisis, all parties including Defendant, appeared by video or teleconference.

Defendant was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

The Defendant acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: GERARDO MENDOZA-RUELAS.

“Not guilty” plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

The Court ordered:

1. Matters involving detention have been previously heard and determined. Issue of detention not before the Court. Pre-existing Order of Detention will remain in full force.
2. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.
3. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.